APPEAL

U.S. Bankruptcy Court Western District of Texas (Waco) Adversary Proceeding #: 20–06093–rbk

Assigned to: Bankruptcy Judge Ronald B King Date Filed: 08/24/20

Lead BK Case: 18-60526

Lead BK Title: Little River Healthcare Holdings, LLC, et al

Lead BK Chapter: 7

Demand:

Nature[s] of Suit: 02 Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to

bankruptcy)

14 Recovery of money/property – other 81 Subordination of claim or interest

91 Declaratory judgment

Respondent

Kevin Lewis, D.O. c/o Lynn Hamilton Butler Husch Blackwell LLP 111 Congress Avenue Suite 1400 Austin, TX 78701

512-479-9758

represented by Lynn Hamilton Butler

Husch Blackwell LLP

111 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400

Austin, TX 78701 (512) 479–9758 Fax: (512) 226–7318

Email: lynn.butler@huschblackwell.com

Respondent

·----

Paul Worrell, D.O. c/o Lynn Hamilton Butler Husch Blackwell LLP 111 Congress Avenue Suite 1400 Austin, TX 78701 512–479–9758 represented by Lynn Hamilton Butler

(See above for address)

Respondent

Dr. Robert O'Neal represented by Katharine Battaia Clark

Thompson Coburn LLP 2100 Ross Ave. Ste 3200

Dallas, TX 75201 972–629–7100 Fax: 972–629–7171

Email: kclark@thompsoncoburn.com

Plaintiff

James Studensky

represented by Brian Talbot Cumings

3912 W. Waco Drive Waco, TX 76710 Graves Dougherty Hearon & Moody, PC 401 Congress Ave Suite 2700 Austin, TX 78701 512–480–5626 Fax: 512–536–9926

Email: bcumings@gdhm.com

Melissa S. Geller Duane Morris, LLP 1540 Broadway New York, NY 10036 212–692–1077

Brad Thompson
Duane Morris LLP
Las Cimas IV
900 S. Capital of Texas Hwy, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78746–5435
512–277–2300
Fax: 512–277–2301

Email: <u>bthompson@duanemorris.com</u>

V.

Defendant

UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company c/o CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan St. Suite 900 Dallas, TX 75201–3136

represented by **Katharine Battaia Clark**

(See above for address)

Eric S Goldstein Shipman & Goodwin LLP One Constitution Plaza Hartford, CT 06103 860–251–5059

Email: egoldstein@goodwin.com

Andrew G. Jubinsky
Figari Davenport, LLP
901 Main Street
Suite 3400
Dallas, TX 75202
214–939–2000
Fax: 214–939–2090

Email: andy.jubinsky@figdav.com

Defendant

United HealthCare of Texas, Inc c/o CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan St. Suite 900 Dallas, TX 75201–3136

represented by Katharine Battaia Clark

(See above for address)

Eric S Goldstein (See above for address)

Andrew G. Jubinsky (See above for address)

Defendant

United Healthcare Benefits of Texas, Inc.

c/o CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan St. Suite 900 Dallas, TX 75201–3136 represented by Katharine Battaia Clark

(See above for address)

Eric S Goldstein

(See above for address)

Andrew G. Jubinsky (See above for address)

Defendant

United Healthcare Community Plan of Texas, L.L.C.

c/o CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan St. Suite 900 Dallas, TX 75201–3136 represented by Katharine Battaia Clark

(See above for address)

Eric S Goldstein (See above for address)

Andrew G. Jubinsky (See above for address)

Intervenor

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas

represented by Michael Fishel

Sidley Austin LLP 1000 Louisiana Suite 6000 Houston, TX 77002 713–495–4500

Email: mfishel@kslaw.com

Filing Date	#	Docket Text
01/02/2024	380	Order Denying Defendants Motion to Stay Trial Setting (related document(s): 376 United's Motion to Stay Trial Setting Defendants United HealthCare of Texas, Inc., United Healthcare Benefits of Texas, Inc., United Healthcare Community Plan of Texas, L.L.C., UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company. (related document(s): 356 Order Regarding (related document(s): 341 Motion For Leave to File Third Amended Complaint and To Withdraw And/Or Strike Jury Demand Filed Plaintiff James Studensky. (Order entered on 12/13/2023) (Order entered on 1/2/2024) (Hardage, Bridget)



The relief described hereinbelow is SO ORDERED.

Signed January 02, 2024.

Ronald B. King
United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	
LITTLE RIVER HEALTHCARE	§	CASE No. 18-60526-RBK
HOLDINGS, LLC, ET AL.,	§	
	§	
DEBTOR.	§	CHAPTER 7
	_§	
	§	
JAMES STUDENSKY, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE,	§	
	§	
PLAINTIFF,	§	
	§	
V.	§	ADVERSARY No. 20-06093-RBK
	§	
UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY,	§	
ET AL.,	§	
_	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY TRIAL SETTING

The Court considered *United's Motion to Stay Trial Setting* (ECF No. 376) ("<u>Motion</u>"), filed by UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company ("<u>United</u>"), and finds that there is good cause to deny the Motion.

The United States District Court is the statutory court that has original and exclusive jurisdiction of all cases under Title 11 of the United States Code. 28 U.S.C. §1334(a). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157, the district court has referred this case and this adversary proceeding to this court to resolve its issues, in the event that this court has the power to do so. The only question currently pending is whether the live issues in this adversary proceeding entitle United to a trial by jury. While the parties have disputed the issue, the Court is of the opinion that the parties are entitled only to a bench trial.

United complains that no findings of fact or conclusions of law were entered by the Court in response to the *Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint and to Withdraw And/or Strike Jury Demand* (ECF No. 341). The order is docketed at ECF No. 356. None were required. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052 incorporates Fed. R. Civ. P. 52 and requires findings of fact and conclusions of law by the court in connection with entry of judgment in an adversary proceeding. Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a)(3) provides: "For a Motion. The court is not required to state findings or conclusions when ruling on a motion under Rule 12 or 56 or, unless these rules provide otherwise, on any other motion."

The entire track record in this 3 ½ year old adversary proceeding is one of delay. The usual time in bankruptcy court from the filing of an adversary proceeding to trial is about one year. Numerous dilatory motions have been filed and heard; scheduling orders and amended scheduling orders have been entered and repeatedly extended at the behest of the parties; and discovery

disputes have been filed on numerous occasions and the Court has patiently handled hearings on every single one. The Court has repeatedly admonished the parties that the pretrial discovery and preparation phase cannot continue indefinitely and that this Court has a duty to manage its docket and set hearings and trials expeditiously to reach a conclusion without undue expense and delay to the parties. A trial date some 3 1/2 years after the adversary proceeding was filed is neither speedy nor expeditious.

On the issue of the alleged right to jury trial on the part of United, the trustee was the party who made a jury demand. Other parties have the right to rely on that jury demand under Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 unless there is not a right to a jury trial, or subsequent events make it clear that there are no jury issues to be decided. *See Rachal v. Ingram Corp.*, 795 F.2d 1210, 1217 (5th Cir. 1986). It is the opinion of the Court that the claims allowance process allows the remaining live issues in this adversary proceeding to be determined in a bench trial.

If the U.S. District Court disagrees, this Court is not offended, and the District Court can withdraw the reference or grant the interlocutory appeal. Either way, if there is a right to a jury trial, the jury trial must be conducted by the District Court because the parties have not consented to a jury trial before the bankruptcy court. It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the *Motion to Stay Trial Setting* (ECF No. 376) is **DENIED**.

###